M E M O R A N D U M

May 14, 1964

TO: Mr. J. Lee Rankin

FROM: Leon D. Hubert Jr.
Burt W. Griffin

SUBJECT: Summary of Duty Investigation.

1. Past Recommendations. In memoranda dated February 19, February 24, February 27, and March 11, we made various suggestions for extending the investigation initiated by the FBI in connection with the Oswald homicide. Some of the suggestions were accepted and action taken. Many were not. We have not pressed the matter largely because since the middle of March, almost 100% of our time has been occupied with the Dallas depositions and their sequelae. The following memorandum our view at this time with respect to appropriate further investigation.

2. General Statement of Issues Not Adequately Investigated. In order to pass on the murder of Lee Oswald by Jack Ruby, we must answer the basic subsidiary to these questions:

a) Why did Ruby kill Oswald;

b) Was Ruby associated with the assassin of President Kennedy;

c) Did Ruby have any confederates in the murder of Oswald?

It is our belief that, although the evidence gathered so far does not establish a conspiratorial link between Ruby and Oswald, or between Ruby and others, the evidence also does not clearly exclude the possibility that:

a) Ruby was indirectly linked through others to Oswald;

b) Ruby killed Oswald, because of fear; or

c) Ruby killed Oswald at the suggestion of others.

3. Summary of Evidence Suggesting Further Investigation. The following facts suggest the necessity of further investigation:

[illegible] Ruby had time to engage in substantial activities in addition to the management of his clubs. Ruby's night club business usually occupied no more than five hours of a normal working day which began at about 10:00 a.m. and ended at 3:00 a.m. It was his practice to spend an average of only one hour a day at his clubs between 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. The depositions were examined primarily to pursue [illegible] in Ruby's club activities. The FBI has thoroughly investigated Ruby's night club expenditures but does not seem to have pursued his other business or social activities. The basis underlying the reference to such other activities (see p.27 of our report of February 20), both terms are general and obliterated and were not explained to us. Similarly they involved any underlying significant purpose. Nor were they probed in such a manner as to permit a determination as to how much of Ruby's time they occupied.

b. Ruby has always been a person who looked for money-making [illegible]. It was his routine prior to November 22, Ruby apparently found considerable time presently on activities during hours as a "strip [illegible]". The "Vulcan Lounge" was purportedly manufactured by Plastalite Engineering, a firm [illegible] of all their equipment while the [illegible] corporation and was the subject of an FBI investigation in 1962. We have no sales of this item by Ruby nor do we have it [illegible] Ruby has manufactured for sale. The possibility remains that the "strip booth" was a front for some other illegal undertaking.

c. Ruby has long been close to persons possibly illegal activities. [illegible] Ruby had to have [illegible] on political [illegible] (see p.33 of [illegible] report of February 20), there is some evidence that he was [illegible] Cuban matters. In early 1959, Ruby [illegible] concerning the [illegible] of persons out of Cuba. He has admitted that, at that time, he [illegible] for the sale of [illegible] to Cuba. In September 1959, after visiting [illegible] at the invitation of [illegible] Vegas racketeer, Louis J. [illegible] who paid Ruby's expenses for the trip and who later expelled [illegible] by Dallas. Activity is described by Ruby and, Ruby's business [illegible] as one of Ruby's [illegible] Ruby failed [illegible] to Cuba [illegible] 1960. In 1961 [illegible] is reported that Ruby attempted three [illegible] to accomplish [illegible] the role of man in Cuba and the smuggling [illegible] refugees. One [illegible] identified as [illegible] Ruby's activities in this endeavor. Shortly after his arrest on November 24, Ruby [illegible] Dallas [illegible] of his expected [illegible]. Because [illegible] Ruby, [illegible] investigation has been conducted to confirm or deny [illegible] about meetings in Cuba. When Ruby [illegible] on November 24, 1963 that Oswald was a [illegible] Ruby commented that by making [illegible] Cuba Committee [illegible] Ruby [illegible] Cuba Committee [illegible] Cuba is a difference". The [illegible] [illegible] to be existing anti-Castro organizations. But Ruby, [illegible] of [illegible], was an unsophisticated [illegible] to Cuba in April 1959. [illegible] reasonable possibility exists that Ruby has [illegible] other [illegible] in Cuba either to the extent necessary to participate in gun [illegible] or smuggling.

f. Bits of evidence link Ruby to others who may have been interested in Dallas affairs. When Ruby's car was seized on November 22nd, it contained various right-wing radio scripts issued by H. L. Hunt and a copy of the Wall Street Journal bearing the mailing address of a man who has not yet been identified. In May 1963, Jack Ruby, operator of a dog kennel/nightclub, is known to have telephoned the Welch Candy Company (owned by the founder of John Birch Society). The purpose of the call is unknown. Jack Ruby's personal notebook contained the Massachusetts telephone number and address of Thomas Hill, former Dallas resident, working at the Boston headquarters of the John Birch Society. Although it is most likely that all of these bits of circumstantial evidence have innocent explanations, none has yet been established.

g. Although Ruby did not witness the motorcade through Dallas, he may have had a prior interest in the President's visit. A November 20 edition of the Fort Worth Telegram showing the President's proposed route through Fort Worth, and the November 20 edition of the Dallas Morning News showing the President's route through Dallas, were found in Ruby's car on November 24.

h. On November 16 Jack Ruby met at the Carousel Club with Bertha Cheek, sister of Mrs. Barbara Roberts, manager of Lee Oswald's rooming house. Mrs. Cheek said that she and Ruby discussed her finding Ruby money to open a new night club. Ruby was not questioned about this matter. On November 20, 1963, a woman, who may be identical to Barbara Roberts, was reported to be in San Antonio at the time of President Kennedy's visit. The possible identification of Mrs. Roberts in San Antonio has not been checked out. In addition, the link found by Mrs. Roberts between Oswald and Ruby is buttressed in some measure by the fact that one of Ruby's strippers dated a tenant of the Beckley Street rooming house during the tenancy of Lee Oswald. To have previously suggested the theory that Ruby and Mrs. Cheek would have been involved in Dallas area cells of which Oswald gained knowledge through his efforts to infiltrate the anti-Castro Cubans. One doubts concerning the real interest of Mrs. Cheek in Jack Ruby stem from the fact that one of her four husbands was a Dallas police officer who arrested one of Ruby's strip-tease dancers. We have suggested that Ruby might have killed Oswald out of fear that Oswald might implicate Ruby and his friends falsely or out in an effort to save his own life. We think that neither Oswald's Cuban interests in Dallas nor Ruby's Cuban activities have been adequately explored.

i. Ruby made an attempt to make contacts on November 22 and 23 with persons known and unknown, who could have been co-conspirators. Ruby was visited in Dallas from November 21 to November 24, 1963 by Lawrence Meyers of Chicago. Meyers had visited Ruby two weeks previously. Ruby also made a long distance call shortly after the President's death to Alex Gruber in Los Angeles. Gruber had visited Ruby about the same time as Meyers in early November. Both Gruber and Meyers gave incredible explanations. Meyers claims he was in Dallas enjoying life with a "dash

but accumulating bread". Before dinner Ruby called to say he would not call a dog that day, as he had promised to do. Finally between 11:30 p.m. and 12 midnight, Saturday, November 23, Ruby made a series of brief long distance phone calls culminating with a call to switchboard Breck Hall at a friend's home in Galveston. Hall states Ruby called to complain him for calling off his (Hall's) act at the Adolphus Hotel in Dallas. Background checks have not been made on these persons.

b. In short, we believe that the possibility exists, based on evidence already available, that Ruby was involved in illegal dealings with Cuban elements who might have had contact with Oswald. The extension of such dealings can only be examined since the present investigation has not focused on this area.

c. To expand that these matters cannot be left "hanging in the air". They must either be explored further or a firm decision must be made not to do so supported by factual reasons for the decision. As a general matter we think the investigative deficiencies in these respects:

(1) Substantial time-segments in Ruby's daily routine from September 26 to November 22 have not been accounted for.

(2) About 40 persons who saw Ruby from November 22 to November 24 have not been questioned by staff members, although there are FBI reports of interviews with all these people.

(3) Persons who have been interviewed because of their associations with Ruby recently have not been investigated themselves so that their truthfulness can be evaluated. The FBI reports essentially do not attempt evaluation. The exception has been where the FBI has been given incriminating evidence against Ruby, it has made further investigation to determine whether others might also be implicated with Ruby. In every case there there was some implicating others, these other persons were questioned and denied the incriminating allegations. No investigation has been undertaken to examine the conflicts.

(4) Much of our knowledge of Ruby comes from his friends Andrew Armstrong, Ralph Paul, George Senator and Larry Crafard. Investigations have not been undertaken to corroborate their claims.

Possible Investigative Recommendations.

a. We should obtain photos of all property found on Ruby's

-4-

person, in his car, or at his home or office, now in possession of the Dallas District Attorney. We already have photos of Ruby's address books, but no other items have been photographed or delivered to the Commission. These items include the K. L. Nash interviews and newspapers mentioned in paragraphs 3d and 2g.

b. We should conduct staff interviews or take depositions with respect to Ruby's Cuban activities of the following persons:

i. Robert Dan Mathews. Ruby contacted Mathews in 1959 in connection with the sale of jeeps to Cuba. The objective of an interview or deposition of Mathews would be to obtain information on possible contacts Ruby would have made after 1959 if his interest, apparent going concern.

ii. Lacey Perrin. Perrin claims she met with Ruby three times in 1963 concerning refugee smuggling and arms sales. She says she can identify the names in Ruby's phone meetings took place. Perrin now lives in Europe. Ruby admits he was once interested in the sale of jeeps, at least, to Cuba.

c. We should obtain reports from the CIA concerning Ruby's contacts. The CIA has been requested to provide a report based on a memorandum delivered by Allen Dulles in [illegible] concerning Ruby's background and [illegible] possible Cuban activities, but a reply has not been received [illegible].

d. We should obtain reports from the FBI based on requested investigation of allegations concerning that Barbara Jeter was in the Ambassador on December 21.

e. The Commission should take the testimony of the following persons for the reasons stated:

i. Nancy Rubenstein

Ben Hecht

Earl Ruby

[illegible] analysis of that Ruby. Nancy is the oldest child and presumably [illegible] last witness as to Ruby's sanity. He talked to Jack on [illegible] 23, reportedly visited Jack the week before the assassination, [illegible] Jack's mental health problems. Has lived with Jack [illegible] in California prior to March 23, believed close to Jack [illegible] in 1947, and managed the Carousel Club for Jack in Dallas from [illegible] 1963. Earl was a traveling [illegible] with Jack from 1941 - 1963, [illegible] persons 1941-1947, and [illegible] while before November [illegible] interviews which require explainations.

[redacted]. This person can testify to the development of the testimony by Sgt. Dean and Lt. Gerald Hill and of seeing Ruby on November 22 in the Dallas Department building.

[redacted].

2. We should take the depositions of the following persons for the reasons stated:

1. [redacted]. This person is one of Ruby's original attorneys, and is reported to have been in the police basement a few minutes before Oswald was shot and to have inquired if Oswald had been armed. He filed a writ of habeas corpus for Ruby about one hour after the shooting of Oswald. He could explain these activities and possibly talk us about the Ruby trial. We should have these explanations.

2. [redacted]. This person interviewed Ruby for 20 hours on November 24 beginning at approximately 12 noon. His report is contradictory to Sgt. Dean's trial testimony. He also interviewed Ruby on December 21, 1963.

3. [redacted]. This person was interviewed twice by the FBI and reported in his stated that he saw Ruby at Parkland Hospital shortly before or after the President's death was announced. Ruby denies that he was ever at Parkland Hospital. We must decide who is telling the truth, for there would be considerable significance if it were established that Ruby is lying. Should we make an evaluation without seeing Parker ourselves?

4. [redacted]. This person claims to have seen Oswald at the Carousel Club prior to November 22, and this event pertains more than any others we have given this consideration. Should we evaluate Dean's credibility solely on the basis of FBI reports?

3. The WCC should re-interview the following persons for the purposes stated:

1. [redacted]. To study personal history to establish original meeting and subsequent contacts with Ruby; to obtain details of visit to Dallas in November 1963 including names he stayed, how long, with how [illegible], etc. The WCC should also check his own files on Dallas.

-4-

ii. [redacted] (Same as Order)

iii. [redacted] (FBI reporter) To ascertain his background to first contact Ruby on November 22 or 23rd; (Ruby provided information to FBI concerning the location of Dallas Derby), and whether FBI gave any information to Ruby to work for it on the weekend of November 22-24.

iv. [redacted] To establish when Silverman saw Ruby at the Synagogue and obtain names of other persons who may have seen Ruby at the Synagogue on November 23 and 24. Silverman states that he saw Ruby at the 8 P.M. service on November 22 and at the 9 A.M. service on November 23; but both of these services lasted at least two hours, and he is not sure whether Ruby was present for the entire services. Silverman (and others) could "place" Ruby, or fail to do so, during critical hours.

v. [redacted] (Same as Order plus employees in Dallas.)

vi. [redacted] This person was in conversation at the Adolphus Hotel, Dallas, at the time of President Kennedy's assassination. Ruby called him on Saturday at 11:45 P.M., November 23, 1963. He also visited Ruby at the County Jail. A background check should be conducted on this person.

vii. [redacted] Dallas policemen who figured in Ruby's movements on Sunday morning, November 24, and Saturday with Ruby on November 23, 24 and 25. In general, each has performed to have had knowledge of Ruby's activities during these three days.

Additional questioning was under guidance of the Counsel and worked closely with Ruby for 10 months. His deposition covered Ruby's activities and movements generally and particularly several hours on November 23 and 24. A background check should be conducted on the three persons and selected parts of his testimony should be checked out to test his veracity.

Person and Dresh Dallas were the employees of a car agency under bought by Ruby approximately 5 minutes before Ruby shot Oswald. Marguerite C said specifically that she believed she knew Karen Carlin. Background checks should be conducted on the Carlins.

[redacted] Dallas [redacted] approximately one month prior to November 22. Although we tend to believe his explanation, we believe a background check on his whereabouts and activities on November 22 are warranted.

Ford is Ruby's business partner. A background check should be conducted as to him and his telephone calls during December should be checked out.

Hedges Hunter, Ruby's stenographer, alleged by counsel to be a homosexual, claims not to have seen Ruby on the night of this shooting, Sunday morning and for a few hours early Saturday morning. Hunter's background and claimed activities on November 22, 23 and 24, should be verified.

D. Other areas of the investigation which are not complete:

1. Various names like Ruby and Gerald which do not appear to be Jewish however, the nationality we have not sufficient to disprove this relationship. Such names include:

i. Communist associations of Ruby;

ii. Gerald's use of a Cadillac believed to belong to Ruby;

iii. After the deportation of Lenny Patrik, Eastern Airlines and Eyrie Cabs have been called. Further investigation may be necessary with respect to Ruby's Cuban connections.

2. Ruby's notebook contains numerous names, addresses and telephone numbers. Many of these persons have either not been located or deny knowing Ruby. We believe further investigation is appropriate in some instances, however, we have not yet evaluated the reports now on hand.

3. We have no expert evidence as to Ruby's mental condition; however, we will obtain investigation of the psychiatric testimony at the Ruby trial.

E. Examination of Ruby and Gerald by polygraph (lie detector).

Copies [redacted] have been taken from Ruby [redacted] by-product of the process. We are known to have failed to do [redacted] November 22 and 23. [redacted] examination conducted in connection with the Gerald investigation, we believe the examination would examine the work of the staff investigators both [redacted] investigative and [redacted] analysis. Polygraph [redacted] are involved [redacted] persons listed in paragraph 3 A.(1), above.

-4-

7. Other Investigative Techniques. We have cooperated in various [illegible] but the scope of outstanding potential have been greatly [illegible]

a. Radio, [illegible] and Movie Recordings. The Dallas radio stations [illegible] every minute of the time on November 22, 23 and 24. We have obtained these radio tapes for all except a portion of November 23, and the tapes include a number of interviews with key witnesses in the Dealey area. In addition, the tapes shed considerable light on the manner in which Dallas public officials and federal agents conducted the investigation and performed in public view. We believe that similar radio tapes and movie films should be obtained from NBC, CBS, ABC, WFAA television news, and WBAP radio should be reviewed by staff members. Whatever information appears on these films who have been considered by the Commission in preparing its report, a copy of each television appearance should be made a part of the Commission records by introducing such as evidence. If the Commission does direct its investigation and expansion into other, we believe it would be done without unreasonable expenditures of Commission time and money.

b. Hotel and motel registrations, airline passenger manifests, and Immigration and Naturalization records.

Copies of Dallas hotel and motel registrations and airline manifests to and from Dallas should be obtained for the period September 24 to December 1, 1963. Similarly, Immigration and Naturalization records should be obtained for the period October 1, 1963 to January 1, 1964. We believe that these records may provide a useful tool in any witness leads after the Commission submits its report. We do not suggest that these records [illegible] by the Commission staff at the present time. For example, it is likely that in the future, persons will come forward who will claim to have been in Dallas during the critical period [illegible] will claim to have important information. These records may [illegible] or refute their claims.